

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/629,242	07/29/2003	Hieronymus Andriessen	223590	6599
23460	7590 10/04/2005		EXAMINER	
	OIT & MAYER, LTD	4900	XU, LING X	
TWO PRUDENTIAL PLAZA, SUITE 4900 180 NORTH STETSON AVENUE CHICAGO, IL 60601-6780		4300	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1775	

DATE MAILED: 10/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/629,242	ANDRIESSEN, HIERONYMUS			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Ling X. Xu	1775			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING II. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tird d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12	September 2005.				
·= '-					
·— · · ·					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>6-10</u> is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 11-20</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 29 July 2003 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to be e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/29/2003. 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da				

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/629,242

Art Unit: 1775

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, claims 1-3 and 12-22 on 5/10/2004 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the Examiner would not be unduly burdened in his search for prior art relevant to each group due to the overlapping nature of the subject matter claimed therein. This is not found persuasive because Group I and II are related as product and process of making the product. A search of the product claimed may overlap the search of the process of making the product. However, a search of the product claimed does not include all the areas required for a search directed to the process. Accordingly, additional search is required for Group II and a serious burden does exist. In addition, the product and method claims are classified in two different classes and may required to be examined by different groups of examiners with different expertise. Therefore, in order to ensure the prosecution quality, the product claims and method claims should be searched and examined separately.

Claims 4-11 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement on 5/10/2004.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following:

Application/Control Number: 10/629,242

Art Unit: 1775

The Brief Description of the Drawing(s) is missing, See MPEP § 608.01(f) and 37 CFR 1.74. Appropriate correction is required.

Double Patenting

3. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims 5, 15 and 20 are provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 3, 14, 18-20 and 22 of copending Application No. 10/628,618. This is a <u>provisional</u> double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claim 3 of the copending application recites that same invention as that of recited in claim 5 of the present application. Claims 14, 18-20 and 22 of the copending application recite that same invention as that of recited in claims 15 and 20 of the present application.

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

Application/Control Number: 10/629,242

Art Unit: 1775

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-5 and 11-20 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-3, 12-22 and 25-29 of copending application No. 10/628, 618 (see amended claims filed on 7/20/05). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the cited claims in both applications recite/encompass the same nano-porous metal oxide semiconductor or the photovoltaic device comprising the same nano-porous metal oxide semiconductor, which having the phosphoric acid or phosphate and triazole or diazole compound.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

5. Claims 1-5 and 11-20 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-8, 14-31 and 25-29 of copending application No. 10/630,492. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the cited claims in copending applications encompass the nano-porous metal oxide semiconductor or the photovoltaic device comprising the nano-porous metal oxide semiconductor of the present application.

Application/Control Number: 10/629,242

Art Unit: 1775

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ling X. Xu whose telephone number is 571-272-1546. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 - 4:30 Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah D. Jones can be reached on 571-272-1535. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ling X. Xu Examiner

Art Unit 1775